UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,455	08/19/2003	Warren M. Farnworth	4245.1US (98-0288.01/US) 4121	
<sup>24247</sup> TRASK BRITT	7590 12/14/200	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2550		RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOMail@traskbritt.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Alexander and	10/643,455	FARNWORTH ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maurina Rachuba	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
This application is abandoned in view of:		•	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-	
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated	
Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	o of <sup>©</sup> is due		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The is		CFR 1.18(d) is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		στιτ τ. το(α), το φ <u> </u>	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requested Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>			
<ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of	
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clain		e the period for seeking court review	
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:			
	/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723		

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20071210 Part of Paper No. 20071210